

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/914,974	MOLTO ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Anabel M Ton	2875

**All Participants:**

(1) Anabel M Ton.

(2) Stephen Husar.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Mark Pratt.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Rejection of independent claims*

Claims discussed:

*1 and newly added claims 10-12*

Prior art documents discussed:

Sato

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Newly amended claims are allowable over prior art of record (mainly Sato reference). Claims subject to new prior art search based on amended subject matter.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Stephen Husar*

Stephen Husar  
Primary Examiner

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

*Mark D Pratt*

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)